WADC TECHNICAL REPORT 54-12
AD 0038147

THE EFFECT OF A SYNTHETIC LUBRICANT AND TWO DIBASIC ACID ESTERS ON CERTAIN USAF FABRICS

CLARENCE D. SMITH, 1ST LT, USAF

MATERIALS LABORATORY

MARCH 1954

Statement A
Approved for Public Release

WRIGHT AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER

20050713160

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

THE EFFECT OF A SYNTHETIC LUBRICANT AND TWO DIBASIC ACID ESTERS ON CERTAIN USAF FABRICS

Clarence D. Smith, 1st Lt, USAF

Materials Laboratory

March 1954

RDO No. 612-13

Wright Air Development Center
Air Research and Development Command
United States Air Force
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by the Textiles Branch and was initiated under Research and Development Order No. 612-13, "Textile Materials for Air Force Clothing". The report was administered under the direction of the Materials Laboratory, Directorate of Research, Wright Air Development Center, with Lt C. D. Smith acting as project engineer.

ABSTRACT

This investigation was initiated to determine if fabrics employed by the USAF were subject to degradation or deterioration when exposed to the lubricating oil covered by Specification MIL-L-7808 and the acid esters, di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate and di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate. Need for an investigation was realized upon receipt of information that fabrics had been damaged when exposed to synthetic lubricants. In order to accomplish the desired program a series of fabrics composed of fibers that are commonly used in USAF fabrics was exposed to the above synthetic lubricants at room temperature and at 160°F. After exposure, laundering and dry cleaning tests were conducted to determine their effect in conjunction with the lubricants. By diaphragm burst tests it was found that no damage was apparent in the fabrics used when exposed to the above synthetic lubricants.

PUBLICATION REVIEW

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

E. SORTE

Colonel, USAF

Chief, Materials Laboratory

Directorate of Research

TABLE OF CONTENTS

														Page
Section														
ı.	INTRODUCTION	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
II.	SELECTION OF FABRICS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	, •	•	2
III	. TREATMENTS OF FABRICS		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	•	•	3
IV.	TEST AND EVALUATION		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		Page
1	STRENGTHS OF FABRICS TREATED WITH LUBRICANTS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE	8
2	STRENGTHS OF FABRICS TREATED WITH LUBRICANTS AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURE	9
3	STRENGTHS OF FABRICS TREATED WITH LUBRICANTS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE AND DRY CLEANING	10
4	STRENGTHS OF FABRICS TREATED WITH LUBRICANTS AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES AND DRY CLEANING	11
5	STRENGTHS OF FABRICS TREATED WITH LUBRICANTS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE AND LAUNDERING	12
6	STRENGTHS OF FABRICS TREATED WITH LUBRICANTS AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURE AND LAUNDERING	13

THE EFFECT OF A SYNTHETIC LUBRICANT AND TWO DIBASIC ACID ESTERS ON CERTAIN USAF FABRICS

I. INTRODUCTION

Information has been received that fabric exposed to Lubricating Oil,
Gas Turbine, Aircraft, Specification MIL-L-7808 has deteriorated. The
oil is a synthetic lubricant usually composed principally of a sebacic acid
ester. Because the Air Force plans increased use of synthetic lubricants of
this type, an investigation of the degradation or deterioration of fabrics
caused by synthetic lubricants was initiated. The investigation was planned
to include Air Force functional fabrics which contained fibers of any nature
that could be exposed during normal use to the lubricants under investigation.

It was considered desirable to conduct tests that would provide information on damage caused by MIL-L-7808 and would also give an indication of damage which might be caused by other synthetic lubricants in use by the Air Force. It was thought that by using di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate and di-2ethyl hexyl sebacate (these are basic constituents of many Air Force synthetic lubricants) in addition to the oil covered by Specification MIL-L-7808 the desired information could be obtained. By using the above materials the results obtained in tests using the lubricant itself could be compared with results obtained when using its basic constitutent (oil covered by MIL-L-7808 is principally di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate) and, theoretically, the damage caused by lubricants composed principally of the same material could be estimated. Depending on the results obtained in comparing these two materials. conclusions could possibly be drawn from tests employing the di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate in relation to the synthetic lubricants of which it is a principal constituent. Basically, this was why the specific lubricants were chosen for this study.

II. SELECTION OF FABRICS

It was evident that tests on all the different Air Force fabrics would be too lengthy and confusing to be readily useful. It was considered more practical and just as conclusive to test a series of fabrics that would give an indication of the damage to the different fibers that are used in Air Force fabrics. The following fabrics were selected for testing:

- 1. 100% cotton 4 oz. 2x2 basket weave
- 2. 100% wool Shade 193 MIL-C-4485
- 3. 100% nylon MIL-C-7020
- 4. 100% rayon For experimental cargo parachutes
- 5. 100% Dacron For experimental parachutes Fabric made in accordance with MIL-C-7020.
- 6. 100% Orlon Experimental fabric for wing covers.
- 7. Nylon-viscose MIL-C-4072 Has nylon warp and viscose rayon filling and is used in Air Force raincoats.

By determining damage to the above fabrics it was thought that it would be possible to predict damage to fabrics composed of blends of the fibers that were represented in the fabrics tested. For example, if the fabric composed of fiber "X" showed damage and the fabric composed of fiber "Y" showed no damage, then a fabric composed of a blend of fibers "X" and "Y" should show damage depending on the amount of fiber "X" used in the blends. Other variable factors such as temperature, type of oil, and length of exposure could also affect degradation.

III. TREATMENTS OF FABRICS

It was necessary to decide what treatment was to be given the test fabrics and what test method was to be used for evaluation. It was evident that the fabrics should be exposed at room temperature and at elevated temperatures and it was considered advisable to determine the effect of dry cleaning and laundering along with exposure because it was probable that Air Force items would be subjected to these treatments.

A complete schedule of treatments was outlined for each fabric as follows:

- A. Treatments of fabrics with lubricants at room temperature.
 - 1. Original fabric no treatment given.
 - 2. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate at room temperature for 72 hours.
 - 3. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate at room temperature for 72 hours.
 - 4. Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 lubricant at room temperature for 72 hours.
- B. Treatments of fabrics with lubricants at elevated temperature.
 - 1. Fabric subjected to 160°F for 72 hours no other treatment.
 - 2. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate at 160°F for 72 hours.
 - 3. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate at 160°F for 72 hours.
 - 4. Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 lubricant at 160°F for 72 hours.
- C. Treatments of fabrics with lubricants at room temperature and dry cleaning.
 - 1. Fabric dry cleaned no other treatment.

- 2. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate at room temperature for 72 hours then dry cleaned.
- 3. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate at room temperature for 72 hours then dry cleaned.
- 4. Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 lubricant at room temperature for 72 hours then dry cleaned.
- D. Treatments of fabrics with lubricants at elevated temperature and dry cleaning.
 - 1. Fabric subjected to 160°F for 72 hours then dry cleaned.
 - 2. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate at 160°F for 72 hours then dry cleaned.
 - 3. Fabrics soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate at 160°F for 72 hours then dry cleaned.
 - 4. Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 lubricant at 160°F for 72 hours then dry cleaned.
- I. Treatments of fabrics with lubricants at room temperature and laundering.
 - 1. Fabric laundered no other treatment.
 - 2. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate at room temperature for 72 hours then laundered.
 - 3. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate at room temperature for 72 hours then laundered.
 - 4. Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 lubricant at room temperature for 72 hours then laundered.
- F. Treatments of fabrics with lubricants at elevated temperature and laundering.
 - 1. Fabric subjected to 160°F for 72 hours then laundered.
- 2. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate at 160°F for 72 WADC TR 54-12

hours then laundered.

- 3. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate at 160°F for 72 hours then laundered.
- 4. Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 lubricant at 160°F for 72 hours then laundered.

The fabrics were brought to standard conditions, 70°F and 65% relative humidity, by conditioning for 24 hours, after each treatment, and then evaluation tests were conducted.

Dry cleaning of the fabrics was conducted as described in method 5610, Federal Specification CCC-T-191b. Dry cleaning solvent conformed to the requirements of Federal Specification P-S-661. Each dry cleaning was conducted using five samples of fabric, 4 inches by 4 inches each, and 350 milliliters of solvent per jar. The Launder-Ometer was run for 25 minutes at a temperature of 85°F, after which the samples were extracted in a centrifugal extractor, air dried, and conditioned.

The laundering was also conducted in the Launder-Ometer and was conducted with five samples, 4 inches by 4 inches each, contained in each jar. Laundering was done by using 350 milliliters of 0.5 percent soap solution per jar. The treatment was run for 30 minutes at 100°F, after which the samples were rinsed thoroughly at 100°F, extracted in a centrifugal extractor, air dried, and conditioned.

IV. TEST AND EVALUATION

The test chosen for evaluation of the effect of the various treatments was, "Strength of Cloth; disphragm bursting method", number 5122, Federal Specification CCC-T-191b. This test was originally designed for testing of paper but since has been adapted to fabrics and is a good means for rapid evaluation, although it does not provide accuracy to the degree that a ravel strip test does.

Due to the mechanism of bursting fabrics in a diaphragm device, the fabric direction having the lower elongation will normally be the first to fail. Hence, in testing the nylon-viscose rayon fabric the filling (rayon) direction consistently was the first to fail. In effect then, the tests on this cloth primarily determined the resistance of the direct spun viscose rayon to degradation by the various treatments, without respect to effects on the nylon.

Five samples of each fabric were treated in each of the twenty-four treatments previously outlined. Results of tests on these samples have been tabulated in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Each table must be considered separately in evaluation of degradation because each table contains test results of an original or a treated control fabric along with results of tests of fabrics that had been given the same treatments as the control and in addition had been exposed to the lubricants under controlled conditions. For example, in Table 4, the samples that were exposed to 160°F for 72 hours then dry cleaned must be considered control samples because similar samples were soaked in the lubricants under the same conditions and were given the same dry cleaning afterwards.

Upon examination of the test results, variations in bursting strength were found but these variations were small enough that they may be considered either test error or normal differences in fabrics. These results presented conclusive evidence that there was no damage to any of the fabrics as a result of exposure to any of the three lubricants that was drastic enough to cause concern.

As a result of this investigation, it is believed that the degradation of

fabrics reported to have been caused by synthetic lubricants must have been caused by either different chemicals or different conditions than the ones used in this investigation.

Strengths of Fabrics Treated with Lubricants at Room Temperature

		Original fabric no treatment given	Average	Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate room temperature, 72 hours	Average	Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate room temperature, 72 hours	Average	Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 lubricant room temperature, 72 hours	、
	Cotton	155 165 175	165 160 164	155 180 170	163	155 165 140 150	150	165 160 165	165 246
	Wool	135	135	135		140 135 140	917	135	135
	Nylon	175 170 170	160 170 169	160 170 175	165	165 160 160 160	919	165	165 54 165 54 165 54
	Rayon	170 180 175	180 175 175	165 165 175	170	160 170 170 165	170	175	165 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170
	Dacron	265 265 260	265 265 262	255 260 255	255 256 256	260 255 250 250	250 256 256	255 255	255 255 256 256 256 256 256 256 256 256
	Orlon	245	32 <mark>/3</mark> 3 33	245 260 265	259 259 259	255 265 260 265	560 561 561	275 275	520 520 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500
o ma sa	Nylon- Viscose	270 295 205	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	300 005	280 29 280 29 280 29	305 305 305	2 8 12	295	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8

Note: Results reported are Mullen Burst Points

Table 2 Strengths of Fabrics Treated with Lubricants at Elevated Temperature

	Cotton	Wool	Nylon	Rayon	Dacron	Orlon	Nylon-
							V13C08
Fabric subjected to 160° F.	160	071	165	190	255	245	295
72 hours	165	077	165	175	255	260 2,0	္က နဲ
No other treatment	150	135	165 160	2 8 2 8 2 8	255 255	255	38 38 38 38
Average	<u>175</u> 162	135	165 164	175 178	250 254	<u>250</u> 25 <u>4</u>	295
Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl	150	135	165	165	260	245	300
160° F 72 hours	150	071	91091	180	255	255 255	382
Average	159	145	160	165	260 257	25 <u>4</u> 25 <u>4</u>	362
Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate	150	140	165	170 170	265	260 260	290 295
160° F 72 hours	165 145	077 170	160 160	170 165	250 250	240	230 280 280
Average	155	139	155	170	255 255	255 255	270 285
Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808	021	077	165	165	255	260	300 300
1600 F 72 hours	170	135	160	175	255	260	32,00
on care	621		165 165	297	250 250 250	18 8 18 8	3 2 2
Average	101	1.79	707	7/7	k)k	707	£77

Note: Results reported are Mullen Burst Points

Table 3

Strengths of Fabrics Treated with Lubricants at Room Temperature and Dry Cleaning

N.							
ı	Cotton	Wool	Nylon	Rayon	Dacron	Orlon	Nylon- Viscose
Fabric dry cleaned No other treatment Average	165 160 160 170 165	135 135 140 140	165 160 170 165 165	1,90 1,80 1,70 1,80 1,80	255 260 255 255 257	270 260 270 250 262	300 310 305 301
Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate room temperature, 72 hours dry cleaned	150 165 160 160 159	170 170 172 172 172 170	165 165 165 163	170 175 165 170 165	250 255 255 255 255	262 255 255 265 265 265	305 300
Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate room temperature, 72 hours dry cleaned	170 155 155 155 165	135 140 140 138	170 155 160 160 160 160	175 165 160 155 165	255 260 255 258 258	265 270 260 260 259	30 3 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30
Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 lubricant Room temperature, 72 hours dry cleaned Average	170 160 160 165 165	135 135 135 135 135	155 160 165 165	170 165 185 175 180	260 250 255 260 265 265	280 285 286 275 275	205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205

Note: Results reported are Mullen Burst Points

Viscose Nylon-3363315 300 335 888888 36883 Orlon 56686 22,25,26,26,26 255 265 265 270 255 262 265 275 275 275 263 263 Dacron 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 250 250 250 245 245 Rayon 22222 165 170 170 155 161 FERRERE. Nylon 160 165 155 999999 999999 WOOL 323325 33277 EEE CAEE 136 133 Cotton 552535 155 1265 125 Fabric subjected to 160° F. Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl Average Average Average Average 72 hours, dry cleaned hexyl adipate 160º F. - 72 hours 160º F. - 72 hours 160° F. - 72 hours hexyl sebacate dry cleaned dry cleaned dry cleaned lubricant

Note: Results reported are Mullen Burst Points

Table 5 trengths of Fabrics Treated with Lubricants at Room Temperature and Laundering

	Cotton	Wool	Nylon	Rayon	Dacron	Orlon	Nylon- Viscose
Fabric laundered no other treatment	971 971 971 971 971 971	125 255 125 255 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125	165 155 165 165 165	185 185 185 185	255 255 255 260 260	265 280 275 275	10 8 8 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate room temperature, 72 hours laundered	1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700	135	165 165 165 165 165 165	195 195 195 186	255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300
Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl hexyl sebacate room temperature, 72 hours laundered	160 145 145 149	135 135 135 133	160 160 160 160	190 195 170 185 185	250 250 250 251	265 260 255 256 256 256	285 310 300 295 297
Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808 lubricant room temperature, 72 hours laundered Average	138 1255 1255 1255 1255 1255 1255 1255 125	255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	156 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 155	190 170 165 165 170	250 250 250 250 250 250	235 260 275 275 275 275 275	285 285 285 290 290

Note: Results reported are Mullen Burst Points

Strengths of Fabrics Treated with Libricants at Elevated Temperature and Laundering

							,
	Cotton	Wool	Nylon	Rayon	Dacron	Orlon	Nylon- Viscose
Fabric subjected to 160° F.	160	130	160	200	250	265	295
laundered '	45 55	125	165	182	255 255	242 245	38
	150	125	165	82	250	270	290
Average		2821	162	18 18 18 18	252	24 264 264	780 789 789
Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl	155	125	165	175	255	230	295
hexyl adipate	160	125 051	165 165	165	255	260	295
į	155	38	165	155	255 255	250 250	285 285
A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	150	5130	165	165	255	255	252
Average) (7	770	COT	COT	424	767	762
Fabric soaked in di-2-ethyl	150	125	155	160	250	260	270
nexyl sebacate 1600 F 72 hours	13.5 2.5 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5	5 5 7	99,5	175 165	235	240	275
	391	125	391	12	250	220	282
**************************************	155	525	91,00	175	250	98	270
Average	727	170	159	691	1,472	258	273
Fabric soaked in MIL-L-7808	155	125	155	185	250	235	305
Tubricant 20 :	747	125	09.	185	250	260	285
160° F 72 hours	155	8	160	185	250	255	295
Laundered	O#1.	125	160	175	250	260	295
Average	<u> </u>	127	160 159	183	2 <mark> 2</mark> 0 2 <mark>2</mark> 0	<u>255</u> 253	200 2015 2015

Note: Results reported are Mullen Burst Points